arXiv:1702.04689v1 [physics.flu-dyn] 5 Feb 2017

Subsonic flow over a thin airfoil in ground effect

Mohamed Serry
Amjad Tuffaha

February 16, 2017

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
American University of Sharjah
Sharjah, UAE
e-mail: mohamedserry91@gmail.com
e-mail: atufaha@aus.edu

Abstract

In this paper, the problem of compressible flow over a thin airfoil located near the ground is studied. A singular integral equation, also known as Possio equation [22], that relates the pressure jump along the airfoil to its downwash is derived. The derivation of the equation utilizes Laplace transform, Fourier transform, method of images, and theory of Mikhlin multipliers. The existence and uniqueness of solution to the Possio equation is verified for the steady state case and an approximate solution is obtained. The aerodynamic loads are then calculated based on the approximate solution. Moreover, the divergence speed of a continuum wing structure located near the ground is obtained based on the derived expressions for the aerodynamic loads.

1 Introduction

In the last few decades, the fields of aerodynamics and aero-elasticity have bloomed significantly due to advances in computation power and experimentation. As a result, the implementation of analytical methods has receded slightly. Despite their limitations, analytical techniques have contributed significantly in the development of the fields of aerodynamics and aero-elasticity. An important example is the pioneering work of Theodorsen [31] who derived closed form expressions of the aerodynamic loads on thin airfoils in incompressible flow using tools from complex analysis. Despite the relative simplicity of the expressions derived by Theodorsen, they have been intensively used by a significant number of researchers to study the aero-elastic stability and control of wing structures (see for example [16, 12, 30, 3]). Moreover, researchers have used Theodorsen's work as a basis to develop more accurate aerodynamic models (see for example [13]). It must to be noted that there were also other early works, prior to Theodorsen work, that have considered analytical expressions of aerodynamic loads in subsonic flow such as [14, 26, 33]. Another important example is the relatively recent work of A.V. Balakrishnan that has revived the interest in analytical techniques in aerodynamics and aero-elasticity (see for example: [4, 5, 6]) and in particular the Possio equation of aeroelasticity (a generalization of the classical airfoil equation). Balakrishnan

has implemented functional analytic techniques intensively to derive and solve singular integral equations from which the aerodynamic loads on thin airfoils in compressible flows can be obtained. Additionally, he studied the aero-elastic stability of wing structures using continuum models. Besides the work of Balakrishnan, there has been a series of recent mathematical works (see for example: [9, 10, 15, 21, 27, 28, 34]) which have studied the mathematical aspects (existence, uniqueness, obtaining solutions, and stability) of different aerodynamic and aeroelastic problems. In conclusion, implementation of analytical techniques, despite their limitation and complexity, is significant in studying different aerodynamic and aeroelastic phenomena.

Ground effect is one of the aerodynamic phenomena that received attention from engineers and scientists for many years due to its significance in many applications [24]. The ground effect is an aerodynamic phenomenon that can be observed when a flying object is near the ground as the induced lift on the flying object becomes relatively high compared to the lift induced in an open flow. In this paper, approximations of analytical formulas for the aerodynamic lift and moment on a thin airfoil near the ground are obtained. The formulas are obtained by first deriving a singular integral equation, also known as the Possio equation [2]. The integral equation is derived based on linearized compressible potential flow theory, typical section theory, Fourier and Laplace transforms, and theory of Mikhlin multipliers [4, 7]. The solvability of the integral equation is then discussed for the steady state case and approximate solutions are then obtained for that case. Finally, the divergence speed of a continuum wing structure in steady compressible flow near the ground is calculated based on the derived approximate solutions.

2 Problem Formulation

In this work, subsonic flow over a thin airfoil that is located near the ground is considered. The aim of the study is to derive formulas from which the aerodynamic loads on the airfoil can be calculated.

The airfoil is described by the set $\Gamma = [-b, b] \times \{z = z_0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+_{xz}$ at distance $z_0 > 0$ from the ground $z = 0$ (see figure (1)), and is subject to an airflow with a free stream velocity of U in the positive direction, so that $x = b$ signifies the trailing edge and $x = -b$ is the leading edge of the chord.

2.1 Flow Model

The flow over the airfoil is assumed to be isentropic and following the behavior of ideal gases. Additionally, the movement of the airfoil is assumed to be small compared to the free stream velocity. The flow is assumed to be two dimensional based on typical section theory which is valid for wings with high aspect ratios. Accordingly, the flow over the airfoil is defined by a disturbance potential $\phi(x, z, t)$ that satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\phi + 2Ma_\infty \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x}\phi = a_\infty^2(1 - M^2)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\phi + a_\infty^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\phi, \tag{1}$$

where $-\infty < x < \infty$, $0 \leq z < \infty$, $t \geq 0$, a_∞ is the free stream speed of sound and $M = U/a_\infty$ is the free stream Mach number. Note that the assumption of potential flow neglects the effects of the boundary layer which become significant when the airfoil is very close to the ground. Therefore, the implementation of the theory discussed in this work is assumed to be valid when the airfoil is at an elevation range in which the boundary layer effects are small but the ground effect is



Figure 1: Air flow over a thin airfoil near the ground

still present. Additionally, equation (1) is valid for ranges of Mach number between 0 and 0.7 [17]. To calculate the pressure jump along the airfoil, a reasonable approximation in terms of the acceleration potential $\psi(x, z, t)$ is used where

$$\psi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi + U\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi. \tag{2}$$

The pressure jump term $A(x, t)$ is then defined by

$$A = -\frac{\Delta\psi}{U}, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\Delta\psi = \psi(z_0^+) - \psi(z_0^-).$$

Moreover, equation (1) is supplemented with the following boundary conditions which describe zero normal velocity at the ground, matching normal flow-structure velocity on the airfoil (flow tangency), zero pressure jump off the wing (Kutta -Joukowski condition), zero pressure jump at the trailing edge of the airfoil (Kutta condition), and vanishing disturbance potential far from the airfoil.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Zero normal velocity at the ground:} \quad & \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\phi = 0, \ z = 0, \\
\text{Flow tangency condition:} \quad & \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\phi = w_a, \quad z = z_0 \ \text{ and } \ |x| \le b, \\
\text{Kutta-Joukowski condition:} \quad & A(x, t) = 0, \ |x| > b \\
\text{Kutta condition:} \quad & \lim_{x\to b^-} A(x, t) = 0, \\
\text{Vanishing disturbance potential at infinity :} \quad & \lim_{x\to\pm\infty, z\to\infty} \phi(x, z, t) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $w_a(x, t)$ is the downwash or the normal velocity on the airfoil surface. Note that the Kutta condition insures the uniqueness of solution to the problem under consideration as shall be discussed in the later sections .



Figure 2: The flow over the airfoil and its image in an open flow

3 Derivation of the Possio Equation

In this section, an equation that relates the pressure jump along the airfoil chord to the airfoil downwash, which is referred to as a Possio equation, is derived. The derivation process starts with applying the Laplace transform in the t variable and the Fourier transform in the x variable to both sides of equation (1) to obtain

$$\lambda^2\hat{\hat{\phi}} + 2Ma_\infty i\omega\lambda\hat{\hat{\phi}} = -a_\infty^2(1-M^2)\omega^2\hat{\hat{\phi}} + a_\infty^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\hat{\hat{\phi}}, \tag{5}$$

where $\hat{f}(x,z,\lambda) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t}f(x,z,t)\,dt$, $Re(\lambda) \geq \sigma > 0$ and $\hat{\hat{f}}(\omega,z,\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega x}\hat{f}(x,z,\lambda)\,dx$. Rearranging equation (5) results in

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\hat{\hat{\phi}} = B(\omega,k)\hat{\hat{\phi}}, \tag{6}$$

where $B(\omega,k) = M^2(k+i\omega)^2 + \omega^2$ and $k = \frac{\lambda}{U}$ is the reduced frequency. Since, $Re(k) \geq \sigma > 0$, it can be shown that the function $B(k,\omega)$ is never 0.

Next, the method of images is used to account for the ground effect (see figure (2)). The method assumes an open flow (no ground) and an image of the airfoil to be located at a distance $-z_0$ from the ground axis. Due to the linearity of equation (6), the solution of the flow problem is obtained by studying the open flow over the airfoil and its image separately. The separate solutions are given by

$$\phi_{airfoil} = \begin{cases} \hat{\hat{\phi}}(z_0^+)e^{-\sqrt{B(\omega,k)}(z-z_0)}, & z > z_0 \\ \hat{\hat{\phi}}(z_0^-)e^{\sqrt{B(\omega,k)}(z-z_0)}, & z < z_0 \end{cases} \quad \phi_{image} = \begin{cases} \hat{\hat{\phi}}(z_0^-)e^{-\sqrt{B(\omega,k)}(z+z_0)}, & z > -z_0 \\ \hat{\hat{\phi}}(z_0^+)e^{\sqrt{B(\omega,k)}(z+z_0)}, & z < -z_0 \end{cases}$$

The normal velocities about the axis $z = z_0$ are given by

$$\hat{\hat{v}}_+ = -\sqrt{B(\omega,k)}\left(\hat{\hat{\phi}}(z_0^+) + \hat{\hat{\phi}}(z_0^-)e^{-2\sqrt{B(\omega,k)}z_0}\right), \tag{7}$$

$$\hat{\hat{v}}_- = \sqrt{B(\omega,k)}\hat{\hat{\phi}}(z_0^-)\left(1 - e^{-2\sqrt{B(\omega,k)}z_0}\right). \tag{8}$$

The solution to the flow problem should insure the continuity of the velocity field. Therefore, $\hat{\hat{v}}_+ = \hat{\hat{v}}_- = \hat{\hat{v}}$. Using the equations in (7) and (8), the difference in $\hat{\hat{\phi}}(z_0^+)$ and $\hat{\hat{\phi}}(z_0^-)$ is expressed in terms of $\hat{\hat{v}}$ as

$$\hat{\hat{\phi}}(z_0^+) - \hat{\hat{\phi}}(z_0^-) = \frac{-2}{\sqrt{B(\omega,k)}\left(1 - e^{-2\sqrt{B(\omega,k)}z_0}\right)}\hat{\hat{v}}. \tag{9}$$

Next, the Fourier and the Laplace Transforms are applied to the acceleration potential in (2) and the pressure jump term in (3) to obtain

$$\hat{\hat{\psi}} = (\lambda + iU\omega)\hat{\hat{\phi}}, \tag{10}$$

$$\hat{\hat{A}} = -\tfrac{\Delta\hat{\hat{\psi}}}{U}. \tag{11}$$

Using equations (9) and (10), the pressure jump term $\hat{\hat{A}}$ is represented in terms of the normal velocity $\hat{\hat{v}}$ as

$$\hat{\hat{A}} = \frac{(2k + i\omega)}{\sqrt{B(\omega,k)}\left(1 - e^{-2\sqrt{B(\omega,k)}z_0}\right)}\hat{\hat{v}}.$$

Rearranging the above equation yields:

$$\hat{\hat{v}} = \frac{\sqrt{B(\omega,k)}\left(1 - e^{-2\sqrt{B(\omega,k)}z_0}\right)}{2(k + i\omega)}\hat{\hat{A}}. \tag{12}$$

Equation (12) is the desired Possio equation that relates the pressure jump to the normal velocity at z_0 in the Fourier domain. The next step is to obtain an integral equation, based on equation (12), that relates the pressure jump along the airfoil to the airfoil downwash. To accomplish this, we appeal to the theory of Mikhlin multipliers in the next section.

4 Mikhlin Multipliers

In this section, the necessary definition and theory of Mikhlin multipliers used in this work are presented. The readers are refereed to [18] for detailed discussions and proofs.

Definition 4.1. Let f and g be two functions in $L^p(-\infty,\infty)$ where $p > 1$ and let their Fourier transforms F and G be related by

$$G(\omega) = \mu(\omega)F(\omega),$$

where μ is C^1 and satisfies

$$|\mu(\omega)| + |\omega\mu'(\omega)| < C < \infty$$

for all ω except maybe at $\omega = 0$. Then μ is called a Mikhlin multiplier

Theorem 4.1. *If f and g are two functions defined and related as in definition 4.1, then there exists a bounded linear operator $T : L^p(-\infty, \infty) \to L^p(-\infty, \infty)$ where $p > 1$ such that*

$$g = T(f).$$

Proof. The detailed proof is discussed in the work of Mikhlin [18]. □

The next step is to show that the multiplier $\frac{\sqrt{B(\omega,k)}(1-e^{-2\sqrt{B(\omega,k)}z_0})}{2(k+i\omega)}$ in equation (12), which we denote by $\gamma(\omega, k)$, is a Mikhlin multiplier.

Theorem 4.2. *$\gamma(\omega)$ is a Mikhlin multiplier*

Proof. $\gamma(\omega)$ can be written as $\gamma(\omega) = \alpha(\omega)\beta(\omega)$ where $\alpha(\omega) = \frac{\sqrt{B(\omega,k)}}{2(k+i\omega)}$ and $\beta(\omega) = 1-e^{-2\sqrt{B(\omega,k)}z_0}$. It was shown in [7] that $\alpha(\omega)$ is a Mikhlin multiplier. Therefore, it remains to show that $\beta(\omega)$ is a Mikhlin multiplier.

In particular, the function $|\beta(\omega)|$ satisfies the estimate

$$|\beta(\omega)| = |1 - e^{-2\sqrt{B(\omega,k)}z_0}| \leq 2. \tag{13}$$

The term $|\omega\beta'(\omega)|$ can be written as

$$|\omega\beta'(\omega)| = \frac{|\omega B'(\omega, k)|}{|z_0\sqrt{B(\omega, k)}|}|e^{-2\sqrt{B(\omega,k)}z_0}|. \tag{14}$$

For a fixed value of k, it can be verified, by calculations that are omitted in this paper, that

- $|\omega B'(\omega, k)|$ is asymptotically equivalent to $2(1 - M^2)\omega^2$.
- $|\sqrt{B(\omega, k)}|$ is asymptotically equivalent to $\sqrt{1 - M^2}|\omega|$.
- $e^{-2\sqrt{B(\omega,k)}z_0}|$ is asymptotically equivalent to $e^{-2z_0\sqrt{1-M^2}|\omega|}$.
- Therefore, $|\omega\beta'(\omega)|$ is continuous and has convergent limits at infinity, and hence $|\omega\beta'(\omega)|$ is bounded.

Therefore, $\beta(\omega)$ is a Mikhlin multiplier. □

Based on the previous discussion, there exists a bounded linear operator $T : L^p(-\infty, \infty) \to L^p(-\infty, \infty)$ corresponding to equation (12) such that

$$\hat{v} = T(\hat{A}). \tag{15}$$

Applying the projection operator $\mathcal{P} : L^p(-\infty, \infty) \to L^p[-b, b]$ to both sides of equation (15) results in

$$\hat{w}_a = \mathcal{P}T(\hat{A}), \tag{16}$$

which is the Possio equation that relates the pressure jump to the downwash. The existence and uniqueness of solution to equation (16) depends on the properties of the operator T. In the next section, the existence and uniqueness of solution to equation (16) is discussed for the steady state case.

5 Special Case k=0

In this section, the solvability of equation (12) is considered in the case of $k = 0$, which corresponds to the steady state case. Setting $k = 0$ in equation (12) results in

$$\hat{\hat{v}} = \frac{\sqrt{1-M^2}|\omega|\left(1 - e^{-2\sqrt{1-M^2}|\omega|z_0}\right)}{2i\omega}\hat{\hat{A}}. \tag{17}$$

The multiplier $|\omega|/i\omega$ corresponds to the Hilbert operator $\mathcal{H}$ which is defined as

$$\mathcal{H}(f)(t) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{f(\tau)}{t-\tau}\,d\tau. \tag{18}$$

Additionally, the multiplier $e^{-2\sqrt{1-M^2}|\omega|z_0}$ corresponds to an integral operator $\mathcal{L}$ that is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}(f)(t) = \frac{1}{\pi c}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{f(\tau)}{1+(\frac{t-\tau}{c})^2}\,d\tau, \tag{19}$$

where

$$c = 2z_0\sqrt{1-M^2}. \tag{20}$$

Note that the parameter c plays an essential role in the existence of solution argument. Based on equation (17), the operators defined in (18) and (19), and applying the projection operator, we obtain following integral equation:

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{1-M^2}}w_a = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{I}-\mathcal{L})A. \tag{21}$$

Note that A vanishes off the chord. Therefore, $A = \mathcal{P}A$. Additionally, the operators $\mathcal{H}$ and $(\mathcal{I}-\mathcal{L})$ commute as their product in the Fourier domain correspond to a Mikhlin multiplier. Implementing the above points and the distributive property of operators in equation (21) results in

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{1-M^2}}w_a = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}A - \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}A. \tag{22}$$

The operator $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}(f)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi c}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{x-t}{c}\right)^2}\left(\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-b}^{b}\frac{f(\tau)}{t-\tau}d\tau\right)\,dt. \tag{23}$$

Changing the order of integration in (23) results in

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}(f)(x) = \frac{-1}{\pi c}\int_{-b}^{b}f(\tau)\left(\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\tau-t}\frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{t-x}{c}\right)^2}dt\right)\,d\tau. \tag{24}$$

The term $\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\tau-t}\frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{t-x}{c}\right)^2}\,dt$ corresponds to the Hilbert operator applied to $h(t) = \frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{t-x}{c}\right)^2}$. We then note that $\mathcal{H}(\frac{1}{1+t^2}) = \frac{t}{1+t^2}$ and the positive shifting and scaling are preserved under the Hilbert transform. Finally, $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}(f)(x) = \frac{-1}{\pi c}\int_{-b}^{b}f(\tau)g\left(\frac{\tau-x}{c}\right)\,d\tau, \quad |x| \le b, \tag{25}$$

where $g(t) = \frac{t}{1+t^2}$. The operator $\mathcal{PHP}$ corresponds to the finite Hilbert operator $\mathcal{H}_b$ which is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_b(f)(t) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-b}^{b} \frac{f(\tau)}{t-\tau}\, d\tau, \quad |x| \le b. \tag{26}$$

The inverse of the finite Hilbert operator exists if the Kutta condition described by the third equation in (4) is imposed.

Therefore, the Possio equation has the form

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{1-M^2}} w_a = (\mathcal{H}_b - \mathcal{PLHP})A. \tag{27}$$

5.1 Inversion of the Finite Hilbert Transform

In this work, the Tricomi operator $\mathcal{T}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{T}(f)(x) := \frac{1}{\pi}\sqrt{\frac{b-x}{b+x}}\int_{b}^{b} \sqrt{\frac{b+\tau}{b-\tau}}\frac{f(\tau)}{x-\tau}\, d\tau, \quad |x| \le b, \tag{28}$$

is used as an inversion formula of the finite Hilbert transform.

Lemma 5.1. *Given $f \in L^p[-b,b]$ with $p > 4/3$ there exists a solution $g \in L^r[-b,b]$ for all $r < 4/3$ to the equation*

$$\mathcal{H}_b(g) = f.$$

Moreover, for $p > 1$, any solution g has the form

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-b}^{b} \sqrt{\frac{b^2-y^2}{b^2-x^2}}\frac{f(y)}{x-y}\, dy + \frac{C}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}}, \tag{29}$$

with C being an arbitrary constant.

Proof. This is a classical result due to Tricomi and Sohngen, [29, 32]. □

Next, a bound for the norm of the Tricomi operator is obtained in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *The Tricomi operator $\mathcal{T}$ defined in (28) is bounded from $L^p[-b,b]$ to $L^r[-b,b]$ for every $p > 2$ and $1 \le r < 4/3$.*

Proof. $\mathcal{T}(f)$ can be written as the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}(f)(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi}\sqrt{\frac{b-x}{b+x}}\int_{-b}^{b}\sqrt{\frac{b+y}{b-y}}\frac{f(y)}{y-x}\, dy \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-b}^{b}\sqrt{\frac{b^2-y^2}{b^2-x^2}}\frac{b-x}{b-y}\frac{f(y)}{y-x}\, dy \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-b}^{b}\sqrt{\frac{b^2-y^2}{b^2-x^2}}\frac{f(y)}{y-x}dy + \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-b}^{b}\sqrt{\frac{b^2-y^2}{b^2-x^2}}\frac{f(y)}{b-y}\, dy \\
&= -\frac{1}{\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}}\int_{-b}^{b}\frac{(x+y)f(y)}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}+\sqrt{b^2-y^2}}\, dy \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-b}^{b}\frac{f(y)}{x-y}dy + \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}}\int_{-b}^{b}\sqrt{\frac{b+y}{b-y}}f(y)\, dy \\
&= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}}\Pi_1(x) - \Pi_2(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}}\Pi_0
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Pi_1(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-b}^{b} \frac{(x+y)f(y)}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}+\sqrt{b^2-y^2}}\,dy,$$

$$\Pi_2(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-b}^{b} \frac{f(y)}{x-y}\,dy,$$

and

$$\Pi_0 = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-b}^{b} \sqrt{\frac{b+y}{b-y}} f(y)\,dy.$$

Next, we estimate the norm of $\mathcal{T}f$ in $L^r[-b,b]$ given $f \in L^p[-b,b]$. Using Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$||\mathcal{T}(f)||_{L^r[-b,b]} \leq \left|\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}}\Pi_1\right|\right|_{L^r[-b,b]} + ||\Pi_2||_{L^r[-b,b]} + |\Pi_0|\left|\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}}\right|\right|_{L^r[-b,b]}. \tag{30}$$

In the following, the definitions of r and p are unchanged but the terms p' and q' are redefined for each subsection. Next, we consider each term in (30) separately

5.1.1 First term $\left|\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}}\Pi_1\right|\right|_{L^r[-b,b]}$

The first term $\left|\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}}\Pi_1\right|\right|_{L^r[-b,b]}$ can be estimated by Hölder's inequality so that

$$\left|\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}}\Pi_1\right|\right|_{L^r[-b,b]}^{r} \leq \left|\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}}\right|\right|_{L^{q'}[-b,b]}^{\frac{q'}{r}} ||\Pi_1||_{L^{p'}[-b,b]}^{\frac{p'}{r}} \tag{31}$$

where $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q'} + \frac{1}{p'}$. Note that $\left|\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}}\right|\right|_{L^{q'}[-b,b]}$ is finite if $q' < 2$. Moreover, the term $||\Pi_1||_{L^{p'}[-b,b]}$ can be estimated using Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-b}^{b} |\Pi_1(x)|^{p'}\,dx &\leq \left(\int_{-b}^{b}\int_{-b}^{b}\left(\frac{|x+y|}{\pi(\sqrt{b^2-x^2}+\sqrt{b^2-y^2})}\right)^{p'} dy\,dx\right)\left(\int_{-b}^{b}|f(y)|^p\,dy\right)^{\frac{p'}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{-b}^{b}\int_{-b}^{b}\left(\frac{|x+y|}{\pi(\sqrt{b^2-x^2}+\sqrt{b^2-y^2})}\right)^{p'} dy\,dx\right)\|f\|_{L^p[-b,b]}^{p'},\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where $\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$. The double integral can be shown to be finite for $p' < 4$, [32].

To illustrate that, the double integral is estimated as the following:

$$\int_{-b}^{b}\int_{-b}^{b}\left(\frac{|x+y|}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}+\sqrt{b^2-y^2}}\right)^{p'} dx\,dy \leq \int_{-b}^{b}\int_{-b}^{b}\left(\frac{2b}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2-y^2}}\right)^{p'} dx\,dy. \tag{33}$$

Using polar coordinates, the term $\int_{-b}^{b}\int_{-b}^{b}\left(\frac{2b}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2-y^2}}\right)^{p'} dx\,dy$ can be written as

$$\int_{-b}^{b}\int_{-b}^{b}\left(\frac{2b}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2-y^2}}\right)^{p'} dx\,dy = \frac{8(2b)^{p'}}{p'-2}\left(b^{2-p'}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}}\left(2-\sec^2\theta\right)^{1-\frac{p'}{2}}\,d\theta - \frac{\pi}{2^{1+\frac{p'}{2}}}b^{2+p'}\right). \tag{34}$$

Using the substitution $u = 1-\tan^2\theta$, the integration term $\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \left(2-\sec^2\theta\right)^{1-\frac{p'}{2}}\, d\theta$ can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \left(2-\sec^2\theta\right)^{1-\frac{p'}{2}} d\theta &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \frac{1}{\left(1-\tan^2\theta\right)^{\frac{p'}{2}-1}}\, d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \frac{1}{u^{\frac{p'}{2}-1}\sqrt{1-u}(2-u)}\, du \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{u^{\frac{p'}{2}-1}\sqrt{1-u}(2-u)}\, du + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \frac{1}{u^{\frac{p'}{2}-1}\sqrt{1-u}(2-u)}\, du \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{u^{\frac{p'}{2}-1}} du + 2^{\frac{p'}{2}-2}\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-u}}\, du.\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

From the inequality, we have finiteness when $\frac{p'}{2}-1<1$ and hence $p'<4$. Since $q'<2$, we have $r<\frac{4}{3}$ and $p>\frac{4}{3}$.

5.1.2 The second term $||\Pi_2||_{L^r[-b,b]}$

The term Π_2 corresponds to the finite Hilbert operator applied on f. Using Riesz theorem [23], the finite Hilbert operator is bounded on $L^s[-b,b]$ for any $s>1$. Consequently, we have $||\Pi_2||_{L^r[-b,b]} \leq C_{p,r}\|f\|_{L^p[-b,b]}$ for $1<r\leq p$.

5.1.3 The third term $\Pi_0 \left|\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}}\right|\right|_{L^r[-b,b]}$

Finally, for the last term $\Pi_0 \left|\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}}\right|\right|_{L^r[-b,b]}$, we have that Π_0 is a finite constant since

$$\begin{aligned}|\Pi_0| &\leq \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-b}^{b}\left|\sqrt{\frac{b+y}{b-y}}f(y)\right| dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi}\left(\int_{-b}^{b}\left(\frac{b+y}{b-y}\right)^{\frac{p'}{2}} dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \|f\|^p_{L^p[-b,b]},\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where $\frac{1}{p'}+\frac{1}{p}=1$. Note that the first term on the right side of the inequality is finite when $p'<2$ which corresponds to the case of $p>2$. Moreover, $\left|\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}}\right|\right|_{L^r[-b,b]}$ is finite when $r<2$ without imposing any conditions on p. Based on studying the boundedness of the three terms in (30), $\mathcal{T}$ is bounded when $p>2$ and $r<\frac{4}{3}$ and that completes the proof. □

We next characterize the solution of the equation $\mathcal{H}_b(g)=f$ using the Tricomi operator $\mathcal{T}$ defined in (28).

Lemma 5.3. *Given $f\in L^p[-b,b]$ with $p>2$, there exists a solution to the equation*

$$\mathcal{H}_b(g)=f$$

given by $g=\mathcal{T}(f)\in L^{4/3-}[-b,b]$. Moreover, the solution is unique in the class of functions satisfying the Kutta condition $\lim_{x\to b^-} g(x)=0$.

Proof. Starting with the inversion formula from Lemma 5.1, we can express any solution $g(x)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-b}^{b}\sqrt{\frac{b^2-y^2}{b^2-x^2}}\frac{f(y)}{y-x}\,dy + \frac{C}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}} \\ &= \mathcal{T}f - \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-b}^{b}\sqrt{\frac{b^2-y^2}{b^2-x^2}}\frac{f(y)}{b-y}\,dy + \frac{C}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}} \\ &= \mathcal{T}f - \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}}\int_{-b}^{b}\sqrt{\frac{b+y}{b-y}}f(y)\,dy + \frac{C}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}} \\ &= \mathcal{T}f - \frac{C_1}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}} + \frac{C}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1 = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-b}^{b}\sqrt{\frac{b+y}{b-y}}f(y)\,dy$ is finite since $f \in L^p[-b,b]$ for $p > 2$, which implies all possible solutions $g(x)$ can be expressed as

$$g(x) = \mathcal{T}(f)(x) + \frac{C_0}{\sqrt{b^2-x^2}},$$

with C_0 arbitrary. Now, since we seek a solution $g(x)$ satisfying the Kutta condition, this implies $C_0 = 0$, and the proof is complete. □

Remark: A solution to the equation and its uniqueness in a class of functions satisfying the Kutta condition can also be obtained using the fact that H_b is a bijective isometry from the weighted Hilbert space $L^2(\sigma)$ on itself where $\sigma(x) = \frac{\sqrt{b+x}}{\sqrt{b-x}}$, [25, 19, 20].

5.2 Existence and Uniqueness of Solution of the Possio equation for the case k=0

In this section, the existence and uniqueness of solution to equation (27) is established through the framework of contraction mappings theory. Applying the Tricomi operator to each side of equation (27) yields

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{1-M^2}}\mathcal{T}(w_a) = A - \mathcal{T}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}A = (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{T}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P})A. \tag{37}$$

The equivalence of equation (27) and (37) follows from Lemma 5.3, under the assumption of $w_a \in L^{2+}[-b,b]$ and $A \in L^p[-b,b]$ with A satisfying the Kutta condition. Note that the Tricomi operator defined in (28) maps functions from $L^{2+}[-b,b]$ to $L^{\frac{4}{3}-}[-b,b]$ while the operator $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}$ maps functions from $L^{\frac{4}{3}-}[-b,b]$ to $C[-b,b]$ boundedly. Hence, we establish existence of a solution A in $L^{\frac{4}{3}-}[-b,b]$ to (37). If there exists values of c such that $||\mathcal{T}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}||_{\mathcal{L}(L^{\frac{4}{3}-}[-b,b])} < 1$, then the solution to equation (37) is given uniquely by

$$A = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1-M^2}}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P})^n\mathcal{T}(w_a). \tag{38}$$

The expression in (38) can then be rearranged to give

$$A = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1-M^2}}\mathcal{T}(w_a) + \frac{2}{\sqrt{1-M^2}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P})^n\mathcal{T}(w_a). \tag{39}$$

Equation (39) shows that the pressure jump is a linear combination of two terms. The first term is equivalent to the pressure jump along the airfoil in open flow (represented by the first term on the right hand side of equation (39)). Moreover, the second term couples the effect of the downwash with the effect of the elevation from the ground. Next, we require a preliminary lemma in order to establish the existence and uniqueness of the solution to the derived Possio equation (37).

Lemma 5.4. *The operator $\mathcal{PLHP}$ given by equation (25) is bounded from $L^p[-b,b]$ to $C[-b,b]$ for any $p \geq 1$ and hence into any $L^p[-b,b]$ with a bound inversely proportional to c.*

Proof. Estimating $\mathcal{PLHP}(f)$ using Hölder's inequality results in the inequality

$$|\mathcal{PLHP}(f)| \leq ||g_c||_{L^q[-2b,2b]} \|f\|_{L^p[-2b,2b]} \tag{40}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $g_c(t) = \frac{t}{t^2+c^2}$ with a norm estimated by

$$||g_c||_{L^q[-2b,2b]} = \left(\int_{-2b}^{2b} \left| \frac{t}{t^2+c^2} \right|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left| \frac{c}{c^2+c^2} \right| (4b)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \frac{(4b)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{2c}, \tag{41}$$

and that completes the proof. □

Finally, the existence-uniqueness theorem of equation (27) is introduced and proved.

Theorem 5.5. *Given $w_a \in L^p[-b,b]$ with $p > 2$, there exists a value $c_0 > 0$ such that for all $c \geq c_0$ the Possio equation (27) has a unique solution $A \in L^{\frac{4}{3}-}[-b,b]$ satisfying the Kutta condition.*

Proof. Consider the equivalent equation (37). Given $w_a \in L^p[-b,b]$, we have $\mathcal{T}w_a \in L^r[-b,b]$ for every $r < 4/3$. It is sufficient to show that the operator $\mathcal{TPLHP}$ is a contraction on the space $L^r[-b,b]$. Now, $\mathcal{TPLHP}$ is bounded on $L^r[-b,b]$ since it is a composition of $\mathcal{PLHP} : L^{\frac{4}{3}-}[-b,b] \to L^{2+}[-b,b]$ and $\mathcal{T} : L^{2+}[-b,b] \to L^{\frac{4}{3}-}[-b,b]$ as established in Lemmas 5.2 and 5.4 respectively. The norm $||\mathcal{TPLHP}||_{\mathcal{L}(L^r[-b,b])}$ is estimated using the bounds on $||\mathcal{T}||_{\mathcal{L}(L^p,L^r)}$ and $||\mathcal{PLHP}||_{\mathcal{L}(L^r,L^p)}$, from Lemmas 5.2 and 5.4 respectively, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ||\mathcal{TPLHP}||_{\mathcal{L}(L^r[-b,b])} &\leq ||\mathcal{T}||_{\mathcal{L}(L^p,L^r)} ||\mathcal{PLHP}||_{\mathcal{L}(L^r,L^p)} \\ &\leq \frac{K4b^q}{2c}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, and $K = ||\mathcal{T}||_{\mathcal{L}(L^p,L^r)}$. Choosing $c > c_0 = \frac{K4b^q}{2}$, we have that $||\mathcal{TPLHP}||_{\mathcal{L}(L^r[-b,b])}$ is a contraction, and hence (37) has a unique solution $A \in L^r[-b,b]$ for any $r < 4/3$ or $A \in L^{4/3-}[-b,b]$. Moreover, A clearly satisfies the Kutta condition. From Lemma 5.3, A must satisfy (27), and on the other hand, a solution to (27) which satisfies the Kutta condition must necessarily satisfy (37). This establishes that A is the unique solution to (27) in the class of $L^{\frac{4}{3}-}[-b,b]$ functions satisfying the Kutta condition. □

5.3 Approximate Solution

Next, an approximate solution to (37) is obtained. From equation (25) and (28) and changing integration order, the operator $\mathcal{TPHP}(A)$ can be written as:

$$\mathcal{TPLHP}(A)(x) = \frac{-1}{\pi c} \int_{-b}^{b} A(\tau) \left(\sqrt{\frac{b-x}{b+x}} \int_{-b}^{b} \sqrt{\frac{b+t}{b-t}} \frac{1}{t-x} g\left(\frac{\tau - t}{c} \right) dt \right) d\tau. \tag{42}$$

The expression in brackets in (42) corresponds to applying the Tricomi operator on $g\left(\frac{\tau-t}{c}\right)$. The function $g\left(\frac{\tau-t}{c}\right)$ can be linearly approximated by $\frac{\tau-t}{c}$ and that reduces equation (42) to

$$\mathcal{TPLHP}(A)(x) = \frac{-1}{\pi c^2}\int_{-b}^{b} A(\tau)\left(\tau\mathcal{T}(1)(x) - \mathcal{T}(x)(x)\right)d\tau, \tag{43}$$

but $\mathcal{T}(1)(x) = \sqrt{\frac{b-x}{b+x}}$ and $\mathcal{T}(x)(x) = \sqrt{b^2-x^2}$. Therefore, equation (37) is simplified to be:

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{1-M^2}}\mathcal{T}(w_a) = A - \frac{1}{\pi c^2}\left(\sqrt{b^2-x^2}\mathcal{R}_0(A) - \sqrt{\frac{b-x}{b+x}}\mathcal{R}_1(A)\right), \tag{44}$$

where $\mathcal{R}_0(A) = \int_{-b}^{b} A(\tau)d\tau$ and $\mathcal{R}_1(A) = \int_{-b}^{b} \tau A(\tau)\,d\tau$. Applying $\mathcal{R}_0$ and $\mathcal{R}_1$ on equation (44) respectively results in the set of equations

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{1-M^2}}\mathcal{R}_0((\mathcal{T}(w_a))) = \mathcal{R}_0(A) - \frac{1}{\pi c^2}\left(\mathcal{R}_0\left(\sqrt{b^2-x^2}\right)\mathcal{R}_0(A) - \mathcal{R}_0\left(\sqrt{\frac{b-x}{b+x}}\right)\mathcal{R}_1(A)\right), \tag{45}$$

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{1-M^2}}\mathcal{R}_1((\mathcal{T}(w_a))) = \mathcal{R}_1(A) - \frac{1}{\pi c^2}\left(\mathcal{R}_1\left(\sqrt{b^2-x^2}\right)\mathcal{R}_0(A) - \mathcal{R}_1\left(\sqrt{\frac{b-x}{b+x}}\right)\mathcal{R}_1(A)\right), \tag{46}$$

where $\mathcal{R}_0\left(\sqrt{\frac{b-x}{b+x}}\right) = b\pi$, $\mathcal{R}_0(\sqrt{b^2-x^2}) = \frac{\pi b^2}{2}$, $\mathcal{R}_1\left(\sqrt{\frac{b-x}{b+x}}\right) = -\frac{\pi b^2}{2}$, and $\mathcal{R}_1(\sqrt{b^2-x^2}) = 0$. Solving equations (45) and (46) for $\mathcal{R}_0(A)$ and $\mathcal{R}_1(A)$ results in

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_0(A) &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{1-M^2}\left(1-\frac{b^2}{2c^2}\right)^2}\left(\left(1-\frac{b^2}{2c^2}\right)\mathcal{R}_0\left(\mathcal{T}(w_a)\right) - \frac{b}{c^2}\mathcal{R}_1\left(\mathcal{T}(w_a)\right)\right), \\
\mathcal{R}_1(A) &= \frac{2\mathcal{R}_1\left(\mathcal{T}(w_a)\right)}{\sqrt{1-M^2}\left(1-\frac{b^2}{2c^2}\right)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Finally, substituting (47) in (44) and solving for A yields

$$A = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1-M^2}}\left[\mathcal{T}(w_a) + \frac{1}{\pi c^2\left(1-\frac{b^2}{2c^2}\right)^2}\begin{pmatrix}\sqrt{b^2-x^2}\\ -\sqrt{\frac{b-x}{b+x}}\end{pmatrix}^T\begin{pmatrix}1-\frac{b^2}{2c^2} & -\frac{b}{c^2}\\ 0 & 1-\frac{b^2}{2c^2}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{R}_0\left(\mathcal{T}(w_a)\right)\\ \mathcal{R}_1\left(\mathcal{T}(w_a)\right)\end{pmatrix}\right]. \tag{48}$$

5.3.1 Lift and Moment Calculations

For the steady state case, the airfoil downwash w_a is a function of the angle of attack θ. In particular,

$$w_a = -U\theta. \tag{49}$$

Note that the downwash given in (49) is not a function of x and therefore, only $\mathcal{R}_0(\mathcal{T}(1))$ and $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{T}(1))$ are required to compute the pressure jump for the steady state case with a downwash given by (49). The pressure jump is calculated to be

$$A = -\frac{2U\theta}{\sqrt{1-M^2}}\left[\left(1+\frac{b^2}{2\pi c^2\left(1-\frac{b^2}{2c^2}\right)}\right)\sqrt{\frac{b-x}{b+x}} + \frac{b}{\pi c\left(1-\frac{b^2}{2c^2}\right)}\sqrt{b^2-x^2}\right]. \tag{50}$$

Now, we calculate the aerodynamic lift $\mathbf{F}$ on the airfoil using the formula

$$\mathbf{F} = -\rho U \int_{-b}^{b} A(x)dx = -\rho U \mathcal{R}_0(A). \tag{51}$$

Using equation (50), we obtain the following expression for $\mathbf{F}$

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{2\pi\rho U^2 b\theta}{\sqrt{1-M^2}} + \frac{\rho U^2 b^5 \theta}{2c^4\sqrt{1-M^2}\left(1-\frac{b^2}{2c^2}\right)^2}. \tag{52}$$

Note that in equation (52), the first term on the right hand side represents the aerodynamic lift



Figure 3: General shape of the lift force profile as a function of the parameter c

assuming an open flow and the second term introduces the aerodynamic lift due to the ground effect. Next, the aerodynamic moment $\mathbf{M}$ on the airfoil is calculated using the following equation

$$\mathbf{M} = \rho U \int_{-b}^{b} (x-a)A(x)\,dx = \rho U \mathcal{R}_1(A) + a\mathbf{F}, \tag{53}$$

where a is the location of the center of rotation of the airfoil. The aerodynamic moment is given by

$$\mathbf{M} = \left(\frac{\pi\rho U^2 b^2\theta}{\sqrt{1-M^2}} + \frac{2a\pi\rho U^2 b\theta}{\sqrt{1-M^2}}\right) + \left(\frac{\rho U^2\theta b^2}{c^2\sqrt{1-M^2}\left(1-\frac{b^2}{2c^2}\right)} + \frac{a\rho U^2 b^5\theta}{2c^4\sqrt{1-M^2}\left(1-\frac{b^2}{2c^2}\right)^2}\right). \tag{54}$$

Similar to equation (52), equation (54) separated the open flow moment (first term on the right hand side) from the moment due to the ground effect.



Figure 4: General shape of the moment profile as a function of the parameter c

Remark (Complex analysis approach)**.** *For the steady state incompressible case* ($k = 0, M = 0$)*, the present problem can be studied using complex analytic methods which include Joukowski transformations to construct flow potential and contour integration to obtain the aerodynamic loads [1]. Despite the relative ease of obtaining flow potential using Joukowski transformations, up to the knowledge of the authors, there are no closed expressions for the aerodynamic loads in the present case.*

5.4 Divergence speed

In this section, the divergence speed U_{div} of a wing structure with thin airfoil (see figure (5) in subsonic flow is calculated [8, 11]. The steady state configuration of the wing is governed by the equations

$$-GJ\frac{d^2}{dy^2}\theta = \mathbf{M}, \tag{55}$$

$$EI\frac{d^2}{dy^4}h = -\mathbf{F}, \tag{56}$$

where $0 \leq y \leq L$, L is the span of the wing, $\theta(y)$ is the torsion angle and corresponds to the angle of attack in (49), $h(y)$ is the deflection of the wing, GJ is the torsional stiffness of the wing, and EI is the bending stiffness.

The clamped-free boundary conditions satisfied by h and θ are given by

$$\theta(0) = h(0) = \frac{d}{dy}\theta\Big|_{y=L} = \frac{d^2}{dy^2}h\Big|_{y=L} = \frac{d^3}{dy^3}h\Big|_{y=L} = 0. \tag{57}$$



Figure 5: wing configuration

The divergence speed is obtained by solving the eigenvalue problem of finding the minimum free stream velocity that satisfies system (55)–(56) and the boundary conditions (57). The divergence speed is now calculated as the following. The Moment expression (54) is rewritten as

$$\mathbf{M} = U^2 \delta \theta, \tag{58}$$

where

$$\delta = \left(\frac{\pi \rho b^2}{\sqrt{1-M^2}} + \frac{2a\pi\rho b}{\sqrt{1-M^2}} \right) + \left(\frac{\rho b^2}{c^2\sqrt{1-M^2}\left(1-\frac{b^2}{2c^2}\right)} + \frac{a\rho b^5}{2c^4\sqrt{1-M^2}\left(1-\frac{b^2}{2c^2}\right)^2} \right). \tag{59}$$

Then, the governing equation of the torsion is given by

$$\frac{d^2}{dy^2}\theta + \frac{U^2\delta}{GJ}\theta = 0. \tag{60}$$

The general solution to equation (60) is

$$\theta(y) = a_1 \sin\left(U\sqrt{\frac{\delta}{GJ}}y \right) + a_2 \cos\left(U\sqrt{\frac{\delta}{GJ}}y \right), \tag{61}$$

where a_1 and a_2 are positive constants that depend on the boundary conditions. For the boundary conditions (57), the following relation is obtained

$$\cos\left(U\sqrt{\frac{\delta}{GJ}}L \right) = 0 \Rightarrow U\sqrt{\frac{\delta}{GJ}}L = \frac{2n+1}{2}\pi, n = \pm 1, \pm 2, ... \tag{62}$$

The lowest speed that satisfies equation (62) (divergence speed) is then given by

$$U_{div} = \frac{\pi}{2L}\sqrt{\frac{GJ}{\delta}}. \tag{63}$$

Remark (Flutter analysis)**.** *The present work can be extended to obtain lift and moment expressions for the incompressible transient case* $(k \neq 0, M = 0)$ *and consequently a flutter analysis can be conducted in a fashion similar to [6].*

6 Conclusion

In this paper, the problem of subsonic flow of thin airfoil near the ground is studied. A singular integral equation, namely Possio equation, is derived to relate the pressure jump over the airfoil to its downwash. The existence and uniqueness of the new Possio equation is verified for the case of steady subsonic flow. Moreover, an approximate solution of the derived Possio equation in this particular case is established and closed form expressions of aerodynamic loads and the divergence speed are obtained.

References

[1] D. J. Acheson, *Elementary fluid dynamics*, Oxford University Press, 1990.

[2] G. Avanzini and A. De Santis, *Beyond Possio Equation: The Legacy of Camillo Possio to Flight Dynamics and Hydrodynamics*. In: Ceragioli F., Dontchev A., Futura H., Marti K., Pandolfi L. (eds) System Modeling and Optimization. CSMO 2005. IFIP International Federation for Information Processing, vol 199. Springer, Boston, MA, 2006.

[3] Y. Babbar, V. Suryakumar, T. Strganac, and A. Mangalam, *Measurement and Modeling of Nonlinear Aeroelastic Response under Gust*, 33rd AIAA Applied Aerodynamics Conference, 2015.

[4] A. V. Balakrishnan, Aeroelasticity: The Continuum Theory, Springer Science and Business Media, 2012.

[5] A. V. Balakrishnan, *The Possio integral equation of aeroelasticity: a modern view. System modeling and optimization*, 15–22, IFIP Int. Fed. Inf. Process., 199, Springer, New York, 2006.

[6] A. V. Balakrishnan and K. Iliff, *Continuum aeroelastic model for inviscid subsonic bending-torsion wing flutter*, Journal of Aerospace Engineering 20.3, pp. 152–164, 2007.

[7] A. V. Balakrishnan and M. Shubov, *Reduction of boundary value problem to Possio integral equation in theoretical aeroelasticity*, Journal of Applied Mathematics, 2008.

[8] A. Balakrishnan and A. Tuffaha, *The transonic dip in aeroelastic divergence speed – An explicit formula*, Journal of the Franklin Institute, 349, pp. 59–73, 2012.

[9] A. Carabineanu, *Incompressible flow past oscillatory wings of low aspect ratio by the integral equations method*, Internat. J. Numer. Methods Engrg. 45, no. 9, pp. 11871201, 1999.

[10] I. Chueshov, E. H. Dowell, I. Lasiecka, and J. T. Webster, *Nonlinear Elastic Plate in a Flow of Gas: Recent Results and Conjectures*, Applied Mathematics and Optimization, Vol. 73, no. 3, pp. 475–500, 2016.

[11] E. H. Dowell, *A Modern Course in Aeroelasticity*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004.

[12] C. Gao, G. Duan, and C. Jiang, *Robust controller design for active flutter suppression of a two-dimensional airfoil*, Nonlinear dynamics and systems theory, pp. 287–299, 2009.

[13] D. Hodges and G. Pierce, Introduction to structural dynamics and aeroelasticity, Vol. 15, cambridge university press, 2011.

[14] G. N. Lance. *The Kernel Function of the Integral Equation Relating the Lift and Downwash Distributions of Oscillating Finite Wings in Subsonic Flow*, Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences, Vol. 21, No. 9, pp. 635-636, 1954.

[15] I. Lasiecka and T. Webster, *Nonlinear plates interacting with a subsonic, inviscid flow via Kutta–Joukowski interface conditions*, Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications, 17, 171–191, 2014.

[16] D. Li, S. Guo, and J. Xiang, *Aeroelastic dynamic response and control of an airfoil section with control surface non-linearities*, Journal of Sound and Vibration, 329.22, 4756–4771, 2010.

[17] J. Lin and K. Iliff, *Aerodynamic lift and moment calculations using a closed-form solution of the Possio equation*, Nasa/TM-2000-209019, 2000.

[18] S. Mikhlin, *Multidimensional Singular Integrals and Integral Equations*, Pergamon Press, New York, USA, 1965.

[19] S. Okada and D. Elliott, *The finite Hilbert transform in* L^2, Math. Nachr 153, pp. 43-56, 1991.

[20] S. Okada and S. Prössdorf, *On the Solution to the Generalized Airfoil Equation*, J. of Integral Equations Appl. 9, no. 1, pp. 71-97, 1997.

[21] P. L. Polyakov, *On a Boundary Value Problem in Subsonic Aeroelasticity and the Cofinite Hilbert Transform*, J. Integral Equations Appl., 19.1, pp. 33–70, 2007.

[22] C. Possio, *L'azione aerodinamica sul profile oscillante in un fluido compressibile a velocitk iposonora.* L'Aerotecnica 18, no. 4, 1938.

[23] M. Riesz, *Sur les fonctions conjugueés*, Math. Z 27, pp. 218–244, 1927.

[24] K. Rozhdestvensky, *Wing-in-ground effect vehicles*, Progress in Aerospace Sciences, 42(3), pp. 211-283, 2006.

[25] M Schleiff, *Singulare Integraloperatoren in Hilber-Räumen mit Gewichtsfunktion*, Math. Nachr. 42, pp. 145-155, 1969.

[26] W. R. Sears, *Operational methods in the theory of air foils in non-uniform motion*, J. Franklin Inst. 230, pp. 95-111, 1940.

[27] M. Shubov, *Asymptotical form of Possio integral equation in theoretical aeroelasticity*, Asymptot. Anal. 64, pp. 213-238, 2009.

[28] M. Shubov, *Solvability of reduced Possio integral equation in theoretical aeroelasticity*, Adv. Differential Equations 15, pp. 801–828, 2010.

[29] H. Söhngen, *Zur theorie der endlichen Hilbert-Transformation*, Math. Z. 60, pp. 31–51, 1954.

[30] A. Sutherland, *A Demonstration of Pitch-Plunge Flutter Suppression Using LQG Control*, International Congress of the Aeronaultical Sciences, ICAS, 2010.

[31] T. Theodorsen,*General theory of aerodynamic instability and the mechanism of flutter*, Tech. Rep. 496, NACA, 1935.

[32] F. Tricomi, Integral equations, Interscience Publishers Inc., 1957.

[33] C.E. Watkins, H.L. Runyan, and D.S. Woolston, *On The Kernel Function of the Integral Equation Relating Lift and Downwash Distributions of Oscillating Finite Wings in Subsonic Flow*, NACA report 1257, 1953.

[34] J. T. Webster, *Weak and strong solutions of a nonlinear subsonic flow-structure interaction: semigroup approach*, Nonlinear Anal. A 74, pp. 31233136, 2011.